Mediterranean Archaeology and Archaeometry2024, 24(1)ISSN:2241-8121https://www.maajournal.com/Matters Arising



# Comment on K. Zoghlami's Investigating Production Technology and Raw Material Sourcing in 17th-Century Bricks of Ghar El Melh Fortress (Tunisia) Through Geo Archaeological Analytical Techniques

Azizi Ramzi 💿 1\*

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Resources, Materials & Ecosystem (RME), Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte, University of Carthage, Tunis, Tunisia \* **Corresponding Author:** <u>azizi61@yahoo.fr</u>

**Citation:** Ramzi, A. (2024). Comment on K. Zoghlami's Investigating Production Technology and Raw Material Sourcing in 17th-Century Bricks of Ghar El Melh Fortress (Tunisia) Through Geo Archaeological Analytical Techniques. *Mediterranean Archaeology and Archaeometry*, *24*(1), 180-185. <u>10.5281/zenodo.11081599</u>

## **INTRODUCTION**

In a newly published paper, entitled "Investigating Production Technology and Raw Material Sourcing in 17th-Century Bricks of Ghar El Melh Fortress (Tunisia) Through Geo archaeological Analytical Techniques ", Zoghlami et al. (2024) present the results of some Geo archaeological Analytical Techniques treating Bricks of Ghar El Melh Fortress (Tunisia). Consequently, the authors propose a new updated geological map, lithographically column and structural scheme. After many notifications from colleagues, I opened the paper of Karima et al., 2024 and unfortunately I'm surprised and I was disappointed by the apparent scientific theft without mentioning the source/reference, as well as the intentional inclusion of false and omitted information that has no connection to field reality essentially in the structural part which was injected into the article without any interest. Moreover, the writers strive to discuss the structural scheme and provide a new update in certain sections of the manuscript. Regarding this, I'm curious about the connection between 17th-century archaeology work and geological sections and structural scheme that present the accumulation of decades of million years.

#### **EMBEZZLEMENT OF A SCIENTIFIC WORK**

I would like to draw the attention to five differences and compare these figures (between Figure 1 and Figure 2, and between Figure 3 and Figure 4):

Red, green and blue circles show a perfect similarity between the two figures (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Now focus on the blue circle, it is the same geological section (orientation, locality and scale): this, of course, is not unnoticed. I wonder if the authors have chosen this geological section why they didn't write the reference, it is the result of a published article.



Figure 1. From Zoghlami et al. (2024)



Figure 2. From Azizi and Chihi (2017)

The two geological sections of the two different articles (Figure 3 and Figure 4) confirm this scientific embezzlement: According to the structural scheme given by Karima et al., (2024), the section must necessarily pass through two anticlines but unfortunately the authors did not check the section and they kept the same geological section (by layer) of Azizi and Chihi (2017), which means, that is not unnoticed (see geological cross sections comparison: same orientation, locality and scale).

If the author understood tectonic structures and honestly followed his new updated structural scheme here it should be a second anticlinal structure, this is an apprentice geology (see the locality of the geological cross section Figure 1), that's why I thought for a scientific embezzlement.







Figure 4. From Azizi & Chihi (2017)

## INTENTIONAL INCLUSION OF FALSE AND OMITTED INFORMATION THAT HAS NO CONNECTION TO FIELD REALITY

The writers (Zoghlami et al., 2024) intentionally added dipping signs (green circles in Figure 5) which is not consistent with the geological map "No7" (Burollet, 1952) and no longer exists on the field.

The writers intentionally added an anticline axis (Figure 5: red circle) which coincides neither with the field data nor with all previous works (Burollet, 1951, 1952; Ben Ayed, Viguier, & Bobier, 1983; Kacem, 2004; Mejri, Burollet, & Ben Ferjani, 2006; Melki et al., 2011; Mejri, Regard, Carretier, Brusset, & Dlala, 2010; Mejri, 2012; Harrab, Mannai-Tayech, Rabhi, & Zargouni, 2013; Azizi & Chihi, 2017, 2021). It is a simple monocline structure.

In their lithostratigraphic column (see Figure 6) the authors present a Pliocene succession (Raf Raf and Porto Farina formations) which exceeds 1450m in thickness and the same remark for Oued Bel Khedim formation with 483m in thickness. These values greatly exaggerated are not consistent with either the tectonics that controlled sedimentation, with the basin bathymetry or with previous works (Burollet, 1951, 1952; Ben Ayed et al., 1983;

Kacem, 2004; Mejri et al., 2006; El Euch-El Koundi et al., 2009; Melki et al., 2011; Mejri et al., 2010; Mejri, 2012; Harrab et al., 2013; Azizi & Chihi, 2017, 2021).



Figure 5. From Zoghlami et al. (2024)





#### **CONCLUSION**

In this paper we discussed and commented some sections and figures of the newly published article by Zoghlami et al. (2024). I wrote this comment to clarify some ideas and avoid deceiving the reading committee, particularly new researchers who can use these data as concrete supports. I know well that foreign authors do not have in-depth ideas on the tectonics of this region but I think that my remarks will be taken in the spirit of sportsmanship, and I do not mean to insult, but to seek purely scientific information.

#### **REFERENCES**

Azizi, R., & Chihi. L. (2017). Superposed folding in the Neogene series of the Northeastern Tunisia: Precision of the upper Miocene compression and geodynamic significance. *International Journal of Earth Sciences* (GeolRundsch), *106*, 1905-1918.

Azizi R., & Chihi L. (2021). Neogene basin of Northern Tunisia: new evidence of graben structures along E–W shear zone and geodynamic implications. *Int. J. Earth. Sci. (GeolRundsch)*, *110*, 2755-2778.

Ben Ayed, N., Viguier, C., & Bobier, C. (1983). Les éléments structuraux récents essentiels de la Tunisie nordorientale. *Notes Service géologique de Tunisie*, 47, 5-19.

Burollet, P. F. (1951). Etude géologique des bassins Mio-Pliocènes du Nord-est de la Tunisie (région de Mateur, Ferry Ville et Porto Farina). *Ann. Min. et Géol.(Tunis), 1ere série Geol. Gén, 7*, 1-91.

Burollet, P. F. (1952). Carte géologique 1/50000 et notice explicative de la feuille no 7 de Porto Farina. Service géologique Tunisien.

El Euch-El Koundi, N., Ferry, S., Suc, J. P., Clauzon, G., Melinte - Dobrinescu, M. C., Gorini, C., ... Zargouni, F. (2009). Messinian deposits and erosion in northern Tunisia: inferences on Strait of Sicily during the Messinian Salinity Crisis. *Terra Nova*, *21*(1), 41-48.

Harrab, S., Mannai-Tayech, B., Rabhi, M., & Zargouni, F. (2013). Study of a Neogene basin dynamics: The "Bizerte basin", Northeastern Tunisia: Relevance to the global Messinian Salinity Crisis. *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, *345*(5-6), 251-261.

Kacem, J. (2004). Etude sismotectonique et évaluation de l'alea sismique régional du Nord-Est de la Tunisie: Apport de la sismique réflexion dans l'identification des sources sismogeniques. (Thesis). University Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia.

Mejri, L., Burollet, P. F., & Ben Ferjani, A. (2006). Petroleum geology of Tunisia: A renewed synthesis. *Memoir ETAP*, 22, 233.

Mejri, L., Regard, V., Carretier, S., Brusset, S., & Dlala, M. (2010). Evidence of Quaternary active folding near Utique (Northeast Tunisia) from tectonic observations and a seismic profile. *Comptes Rendus. Géoscience*, *342*(11), 864-872.

Mejri, L. (2012). *Tectonique quaternaire, paléosismicité et sources sismogéniques en Tunisie nord-orientale: étude de la faille d'Utique* (Doctoral dissertation, Université de Toulouse, Midi-Pyrénées, France). Retrieved from https://www.theses.fr/2012TOU30091

Melki, F., Zouaghi, T., Harrab, S., Sainz, A. C., Bédir, M., & Zargouni, F. (2011). Structuring and evolution of Neogene transcurrent basins in the Tellian foreland domain, north-eastern Tunisia. *Journal of Geodynamics*, *52*(1), 57-69.